Allens > < Linklaters

JSIP 2019 Overview of Australian Patent Litigation and Mock Trial

Sarah Matheson, Partner Philip Kerr, Senior Patent Counsel

Allens is an independent partnership operating in alliance with Linklaters LLP.

## Venue and Jurisdiction for Patent Litigation

>Almost all patent claims in Australia are heard by the Federal Court of Australia

> Trial before single judge. No jury

> Cases are generally **split** into separate phases:

- Determination of liability validity, infringement and injunctive relief
- Determination of quantum of damages or an account of profits

 All parties have a right of appeal to the Full Court of the Federal Court
 Decisions of the Full Court can be appealed, with leave, to the High Court of Australia

# > Overall Flow of a Patent Case in Australia (1 of 2)



# > Overall Flow of a Patent Case in Australia (2 of 2)

Discovery and evidence	<ul> <li>Discovery follows pleadings, and evidence usually follows discovery</li> <li>Evidence is normally given in the form of affidavits supplemented by oral evidence (including cross-examination) in Court</li> <li>Normal for exchange of evidence to take between 3 and 6 months</li> <li>Performance of experiments sanctioned by the Court may be necessary</li> </ul>
Experts	<ul> <li>Expert(s) retained to give evidence on technical issues</li> <li>Retaining appropriate expert critical</li> <li>In many cases the experts are asked to prepare a joint report for the Court, and give concurrent evidence</li> </ul>
Trial	<ul> <li>Trial date is set once evidence has been exchanged</li> <li>Trial involves opening submissions from each party, examination and cross examination of witnesses, followed by closing submissions from each party</li> </ul>
Judgment	•Court delivers judgment (which, in some cases, can take in excess of 12 months)
Remedies	<ul> <li>Available remedies include a final injunction, and order for a damages inquiry or account of profits, and an award of costs</li> <li>Usual course is to have hearing on liability, followed by a separate hearing on the issue of damages or an account of profits</li> </ul>

## Costs in Patent Litigation



#### COSTS ORDERS

Unsuccessful party usually ordered to pay costs of successful party

## SECURITY FOR COSTS AND FOREIGN CORPORATIONS

Where no realisable assets in Australia, party may be ordered to provide security to cover any costs orders which may ultimately be made in favour of the other party

## Definition of Patent Infringement

- Infringement is understood to mean exploitation of a product or process claimed in a patent
- > To 'exploit' an invention means:
  - (a) where the invention is a product make, hire, sell or otherwise dispose of the product, offer to make, sell, hire or otherwise dispose of it, use or import it, or keep it for the purpose of doing any of those things; or
  - (b) where the invention is a method or process use the method or process or do any act mentioned in paragraph (a) in respect of a product resulting from such use;
- >There will be no infringement unless the alleged infringer has taken all of the essential features or integers of the patentee's claim.
- > Infringement is determined after construction of the claims.

## Construction of Patent Claims

- > The proper construction of the claims is a **matter of law**.
- > A claim is construed from the perspective of a person skilled in the relevant art, and in the light of the common general knowledge.
- > Ordinary words should be given their **ordinary meaning** unless:
  - a person skilled in the art would give them a technical meaning; or
  - the specification ascribes a special meaning.
- >Claims should be construed in the context of the specification as a whole, but cannot be narrowed or expanded by adding glosses drawn from the specification.
- > Claim should be given a purposive construction.
- > Use of patent office file to aid construction is not allowed.

## Mock Trial Scene 1: Closing submissions by the Approvince of the Applicant's Invention

#### Feature

- 1 Control method for a car navigation system that displays a map on a display screen, the method comprising steps of:
- 2 reading, from first memory means in which facility data comprising display data a plurality of service facilities and coordinate data indicative of existing positions of the service facilities have previously been stored, the display data to display the plurality of service facilities on the display screen
- 3 designating one of the plurality of service facilities displayed on the display screen in accordance with an operation
- 4 reading coordinate data corresponding to the designated one service facility from the memory means
- 5 storing the read coordinate data as user registered data in second memory means; and
- 6 displaying a position indicated by the coordinate data read from the second memory by superimposing a predetermined pattern on to the map when the map is displayed on the display screen

### Mock Trial Scene 1: Closing submissions by the Applicant Comparison of claimed Invention with the D Method

#### Feature

- 1 A control method for car navigation system that displays a map on a screen of D which includes:
- 2 holding D spot data including D name data indicative of a plurality of spots and D data indicative of existing positions of the spots in D server of the car navigation system in order to display the plurality of spots corresponding to the D name data on the screen
- 3 receiving an instruction to register one of the plurality of spots displayed on the screen a "memo position"
- 4 obtaining D position data corresponding to the designated spot from D server
- 5 to be registered according to the instruction in order to store the D position data as D memo data
- 6 superimposing an icon on the map indicated by the D position data of D memo data read from D server when the map is displayed on the screen

## Mock Trial Scene 1: Applying claim construction principles



#### CAR NAVIGATION SYSTEM

The claimed method is for a car navigation system, but this does not require all elements of the system to be physically installed in the car.

There is no ambiguity in the claim language, meaning any requirement for the entire system to be installed in a vehicle adds an impermissible gloss to the claim based on the example embodiment described in the specification



The first memory means is any electronic storage medium which is used to store the "display data" (i.e. the names of locations which can be searched by a user) and "coordinate data" (i.e. latitude and longitude position of selected locations).

This corresponds to the D Server, which stores the names and locations of "spots".



The second memory means is any electronic storage medium which is rewritable and is used to temporarily record the coordinate data for the selected location. This data is retrieved for display on the display screen.

This also corresponds to the D Server, which registers a selected location as "memo data" and retrieves the information for display on the D Terminal.

## Mock Trial Scene 2: Closing submissions by Respondent

- > Ambiguities in claim construction can be clarified by having reference to the disclosure in the specification as a whole.
  - Where many different car navigation systems were available as at the priority date, it is necessary to refer to the body of the specification to identify the type of system forming part of the Invention.
- >Adopting a purposive construction does not extend the patentee's monopoly to the "ideas" disclosed in the specification.
  - The examples of the first memory means and second memory means provided in the body of the specification are very limited.
  - The patentee's monopoly should not extend beyond the matters clearly disclosed in the specification as a whole.

## Mock Trial Scene 2: Closing submissions by Respondent

- > Key distinctions between Invention and D Method:
  - Equipment used in D Method is not entirely contained in the car, but is separated between an in-car D Terminal (display screen) and remote D Server – the D Method therefore does not involve a car navigation system as described in the patent.
  - The D Server is not a portable memory means, distinct from the CD-ROM used as the first memory means in the claimed method.
  - D Method uses only a single memory means the D Server rather than employing separate first and second memory means.
- > Doctrine of equivalents does not apply in Australia, so cannot assist the patentee is expanding the scope of the claimed invention.

## Mock Trial Scene 3: Closing reply submissions by Applicant > The Applicant does not seek to rely on the doctrine of equivalents.

The essential integers of the Invention map directly onto the features of the D Method as below:
Read from first



## Mock Trial Scene 4: Judgment

CONCLUSIONS ON CONSTRUCTION

The judgment will set out what the Court considers to be the proper construction of the claim in suit, having regard to the evidence of expert witnesses where appropriate.



Based on the findings on construction, the Court will make findings of fact on infringement.

The Court will then conclude whether infringement is made out.

	<b>~</b> -	
	<b>~</b> -	
	<u>×</u> -	
	<b>v</b> -	
$\cap$		2.9

Orders disposing of the liability portion of the proceeding will be made, and further orders for progressing the hearing on the quantum of damages or account of profits (if the Applicant is successful) will be made.

# Allens > < Linklaters

Allens is an independent partnership operating in alliance with Linklaters LLP.